

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/773,766	02/06/2004	Mark Weselak	36-001310US	5577	
22798 7	7590 04/18/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
QUINE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, P.C.			HYUN, PAUL SANG HWA		
	P O BOX 458 ALAMEDA, CA 94501		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1743		
			DATE MAILED: 04/18/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/773,766	WESELAK ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Paul S. Hyun	1743			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	opears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, .136(a). In no event, however, may a repd will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHUS, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. Ily be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06</u>	February 2004.				
2a)□		is action is non-final.				
3)	,					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-68</u> is/are pending in the application	n,				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	•				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		·			
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		,			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•				
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-68</u> are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
<i>'</i> —	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		the Examiner.			
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·				
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	l19(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume		nlination No			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	• '	·			
	application from the International Bure	· ·	sceived in this National Stage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	eceived.			
Attachma-	tte)					
Attachmen 1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)			
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	8) 5) ☐ Notice of Info 6) ☐ Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -			

Application/Control Number: 10/773,766

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-48, drawn to a compound storage and retrieval system,
 classified in class 62, subclass 382.
- II. Claims 49-50, drawn to a compound storage module, classified in class62, subclass 382.
- III. Claims 51-68, drawn to a method of controlling retrieval and storage of a plurality of compounds, classified in class 62, subclass 382.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the storage and retrieval system does not require a storage module that comprises a transparent lockable door, a plurality of tray location indicators coupled to the racks, or a temperature control means. The subcombination has separate utility such as a freezer.

Inventions (I and II) and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice.

The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the

Application/Control Number: 10/773,766

Art Unit: 1743

apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the process of Invention III can be practiced manually.

Claim 1 is generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species:

Claim 18 recites a storage and retrieval system comprising bar code labels for identifying the slots of the rack.

Claims 20 and 21 recite a storage and retrieval system comprising an LED, a light, a buzzer, a flag or an alphanumeric indicator for identifying the slots of the rack.

The species are independent or distinct because they are two separate means of identification utilizing different mechanisms and algorithms.

Claim 1 is generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species:

Claim 33 recites a storage and retrieval system comprising alphanumeric labels for identifying the trays.

Claim 34 recites a storage and retrieval system comprising a color coded label for identifying the trays.

The species are independent or distinct because they are two separate means of identification utilizing different mechanisms and algorithms.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims

Application/Control Number: 10/773,766

Art Unit: 1743

subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

A telephone call was made to Angie Horne on 4/13/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Art Unit: 1743

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul S. Hyun whose telephone number is (571)-272-8559. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on (571)-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DSH

4/13/06

YELENA GAKH PRIMARY EXAMINER